

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,967	09/29/2006	Remi Le Bec	ATOCM-0357	5647
23599 MILLEN WH	7590 06/10/200 HTE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			WOOD, ELIZABETH D	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON	. VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	EI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,967	LE BEC ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elizabeth D. Wood	1793	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

eam	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>22 April 2009</u> .
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration

- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)

 All b)

 Some * c)

 None of:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/09

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

Allowable Subject Matter

The allowable subject matter indicated in the office action dated March 11, 2009 is hereby withdrawn. The following new rejection is applicable:

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 22, 2009 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. The examiner notes the post card accompanying the IDS. However, the card indicates that "0" references accompanied the submission. The US references have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 3,411.888 to Drost et al.

The instantly claimed invention is directed to a zeolite composition comprising a zeolite A, X and/or Y and/or chabazite in combination with clinoptilolite.

Drost et al. disclose a composition and method for the production and use thereof that anticipates the instantly claimed invention. The reference teaches a powder Application/Control Number: 10/594,967

Art Unit: 1793

or agglomerated zeolitic composition comprising a chabazite zeolite and a clinoptilolite with particle size consistent with that claimed in the application. The composition is prepared by mixing the zeolites together followed by agglomerating, drying and activation.

This disclosure anticipates the instantly claimed invention. See particularly columns 3, 5 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1793

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,411,888 to Drost et al.

Drost et al. teaches the invention as set forth above. Drost et al. differ from claim 2 in that the specific amounts of zeolites are not recited. The examiner takes the position that the skilled artisan would have been able to arrive at such amounts within the broad disclosure of Drost et al. because they would be result-effective for the adsorbent process for which the composition will be employed.

Claims 7-11 describe methods for the removal of water from various liquid or gaseous mixtures. Drost et al. teach using the composition for adsorbing water from a mixture. Accordingly, the skilled artisan would expect the composition to have utility in the separation processes set forth in the instant application.

Conclusion

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,967

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth D. Wood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/E. D. W./